
Models for Time-Dependent Phenomena

- I. Phenomena in laser-matter interaction: atoms*
- II. Phenomena in laser-matter interaction: molecules*
- III. Model systems and TDDFT*

Manfred Lein



Outline

Phenomena in laser-matter interaction: molecules

- Molecules, Born-Oppenheimer approximation
- Bond softening, enhanced ionization, Coulomb explosion
- High-harmonic generation in molecules

Molecules

Set of nuclear and electronic coordinates \mathbf{R}_j and \mathbf{r}_k .

Hamiltonian:

$$H_0 = \sum_j \frac{P_j^2}{2M_j} + \sum_k \frac{p_k^2}{2} + \sum_{j,k} w_{j,e}(\mathbf{R}_j, \mathbf{r}_k) + \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} w_{j_1, j_2}(\mathbf{R}_{j_1}, \mathbf{R}_{j_2}) + \sum_{k_1 \neq k_2} w_{ee}(\mathbf{r}_{k_1}, \mathbf{r}_{k_2})$$

with w_{j_1, j_2} nucleus-nucleus interaction, $w_{j,e}$ nucleus-electron interaction, and w_{ee} electron-electron interaction.

Light-molecule interaction:

$$H(t) = H_0 - \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E}(t)$$

with dipole moment $\mathbf{D} = (\sum_j Z_j \mathbf{R}_j) - (\sum_k \mathbf{r}_k)$

Born-Oppenheimer approximation

Idea: separation of time scales for nuclear and electronic motion due to great mass difference

→ Electrons adjust “instantaneously” to nuclear positions.

Born-Oppenheimer (BO) Ansatz for wave function:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_m \chi_m(\mathbf{R}, t) \Phi_m(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r}),$$

$\Phi_m(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{r})$ = electronic eigenstates at fixed nuclear positions.

Inserting into the field-free TDSE yields

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_m(\mathbf{R}, t) &= [T_n + V_m^{\text{BO}}(\mathbf{R})] \chi_m && \leftarrow \text{BO approximation} \\ &+ \sum_{m'} \langle \Phi_m | T_n | \Phi_{m'} \rangle \chi_{m'} && \leftarrow \text{nonadiabatic couplings} \\ &&& (T_n \text{ acting on both } \Phi_{m'} \text{ and } \chi_{m'}) \end{aligned}$$

Born-Oppenheimer approximation

Including the laser-molecule interaction, the BO TDSE becomes:

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi_m(\mathbf{R}, t) = [T_n + V_m^{\text{BO}}(\mathbf{R})] \chi_m(\mathbf{R}, t) - \mathbf{E} \cdot \sum_{m'} \langle \Phi_m | \mathbf{D} | \Phi_{m'} \rangle \chi_{m'}$$

→ Functions χ_m coupled only by the dipole matrix elements.

BO approximation breaks down for highly excited electrons:


- Rydberg molecules
- Electrons in the continuum

Fragmentation mechanisms in H_2^+

- Bond softening
- “Above-threshold” dissociation
- Charge resonance enhanced ionization
- Coulomb explosion


Bond softening

Transitions between BO states occur at nuclear positions where photon energy is resonant.



Bond softening


Alternative picture: potential surfaces shifted by multiples of the photon energy (\rightarrow **diabatic potentials**)



Lowering of dissociation threshold = **bond softening**

"Above-threshold" dissociation


3-photon absorption + 1-photon emission
= effective 2-photon absorption



Charge-resonance enhanced ionization

At a range of internuclear distances, the tunneling barrier is suppressed by the presence of the second center.


→ **enhancement of ionization**



Seideman, Ivanov, Corkum, PRL **75**, 2819 (1995),
Zuo, Bandrauk, PRA **52**, R 2511 (1995).

Coulomb explosion

Ionization of H_2^+ and other molecular ions can create two charged centers \rightarrow rapid fragmentation due to Coulomb repulsion.




Kinetic energy release indicates initial internuclear distance by energy conservation:

$$E_{\text{kin}} \approx 1/R_{\text{initial}}$$

\rightarrow **Coulomb explosion imaging**

Typical fragment spectrum


Energies of D^+ ions from D_2 in a strong pulse



[Trump et al. PRA **62**, 063402 (2000)]

Harmonic generation in molecules

For small molecules: electron excursion \gg molecular size




Atom-like mechanism

Influence of molecular properties on ionization and recombination

→ *Probing of molecular structure / dynamics*

Two-center interference

Recolliding electron with wave vector \mathbf{k} in H_2 or H_2^+



Minimum occurs when $R \cos \theta = \lambda/2$ with $\lambda = 2\pi/k =$ electron wavelength

[Fixed-nuclei TDSE calculations:


M.L., N. Hay, R. Velotta, J.P. Marangos, P.L. Knight, PRL **88**, 183903 (2002)

Multielectron dynamics in HHG

Not only the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO), but also lower-lying orbitals participate in harmonic generation when HOMO contribution is suppressed by symmetry.

Example: CO₂

O. Smirnova et al.,
Nature **460**, 972 (2009)



Strong-field approximation for high-harmonic generation

(also known as Lewenstein model)

$$\mathbf{D}(t) = i \int_0^t dt' E(t') \int d^3p \langle \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t') | x | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t) \rangle \exp(-iS) + \text{c.c.}$$

$$\text{where } S(\mathbf{p}, t, t') = \int_{t'}^t dt'' \left[\frac{(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t''))^2}{2} + I_p \right]$$

(length-gauge form) [Lewenstein et al., Phys. Rev. A **49**, 2117 (1994)]

Strong-field approximation for high-harmonic generation

(also known as Lewenstein model)

$$\mathbf{D}(t) = i \int_0^t dt' E(t') \int d^3p \langle \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t') | x | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t) \rangle \exp(-iS) + \text{c.c.}$$

$$\text{where } S(\mathbf{p}, t, t') = \int_{t'}^t dt'' \left[\frac{(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t''))^2}{2} + I_p \right]$$

(length-gauge form) [Lewenstein et al., Phys. Rev. A **49**, 2117 (1994)]


In addition to the gauge problem, there is a ***choice of recombination operator***:

velocity (or acceleration) form preferable to length form

[A. Gordon, F.X. Kärtner, PRL **95**, 223901 (2005),

C.C. Chirilă, M.L., J. Mod. Opt. **54**, 1039 (2007)]

Results for harmonic generation in H_2^+



$$\theta = 40^\circ$$

curves: full SFA results

dashed lines: minima from

- TDSE
- recombination elements only

SFA for harmonics in vibrating molecules

Assume

- Born-Oppenheimer motion of core electrons,
- transition matrix element independent of internuclear distance
(sufficient is $d(\mathbf{k}, R) = f(\mathbf{k}) g(R)$)

→ Creation of a nuclear wave packet χ that evolves on the BO potential surface of the ion between t' and t .

SFA for harmonics in vibrating molecules

Assume

- Born-Oppenheimer motion of core electrons,
- transition matrix element independent of internuclear distance
(sufficient is $d(\mathbf{k}, R) = f(\mathbf{k}) g(R)$)

→ Creation of a nuclear wave packet χ that evolves on the BO potential surface of the ion between t' and t .

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(t) = & 2 \int_0^t dt' E(t') \int dR \chi(R, 0)^* \chi(R, t-t') \\ & \times \int d^3p \langle \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t') | x | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \nabla | \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t) \rangle \exp[-iS(\mathbf{p}, t, t')] + \text{c.c} \end{aligned}$$


with vibrational wave packet $\chi(R, \tau)$.

→ Harmonics are sensitive to the vibrational autocorrelation function

$$C(\tau) = \int dR \chi(R, 0)^* \chi(R, \tau)$$

Vibrational autocorrelation function


Illustration of physical mechanism:



Vibrational autocorrelation function

Calculate field-free evolution of a vibrational wave packet in the BO potential of $\text{H}_2^+/\text{D}_2^+$,

$$i \frac{\partial \chi(R,t)}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} + V_{\text{BO}}^+(R) \right] \chi(R,t), \quad \chi(R,0) = \chi_0^{\text{H}_2}(R)$$



→ More intense harmonics in heavier isotope D_2 .

SFA for harmonics vibrating molecules

Generalization to matrix elements depending on internuclear distance and momentum:

$$\begin{aligned}d_{\text{ion}}(\mathbf{k}, R) \chi_0^{\text{H}_2}(R) &=: \bar{d}_{\text{ion}}(\mathbf{k}) \xi_{\mathbf{k}}(R, t = 0) \\d_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{k}, R) \chi_0^{\text{H}_2}(R) &=: \bar{d}_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{k}) \eta_{\mathbf{k}}(R, t = 0)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rightarrow P_x(t) &= 2i \int_0^t dt' E(t') \int d^3p C(\mathbf{p}, t', t) \\ &\quad \times \bar{d}_{\text{ion}}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t')) \bar{d}_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{A}(t)) \exp[-iS(\mathbf{p}, t, t')] + \text{c.c.}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C(\mathbf{p}, t', t) = \int dR [\eta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{A}(t)}(R, 0)]^* \xi_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{A}(t')}(R, t - t')$$

is the overlap between the evolved wave packet $\xi_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{A}(t')}(R, t - t')$ and the “target wave packet” $\eta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{A}(t)}(R, 0)$.

SFA for harmonics in vibrating molecules

Approximately: $\xi_{\mathbf{k}}(R) \approx \chi_0^{H_2}(R)$ (initial wave packet)

$$\rightarrow C(\mathbf{p}, t, t') = \int dR \chi_0^{H_2}(R) \frac{d_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{A}(t), R)}{d_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{A}(t))} \chi(R, t - t')$$

H₂ with LCAO: $d_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{k}, R) = N(R)k_x \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}/2) \langle 0 | \mathbf{k} \rangle_{\text{atom}}$

Harmonics are proportional to


$$\left| \int dR \chi_0^{H_2}(R) \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}/2) \chi(R, t - t') \right|^2.$$

(This incorporates interference + vibration.)

Comparison with experiment

8 fs pulses, wavelength 775 nm, intensity 2×10^{14} W/cm²



Raw data of harmonics in D₂
and H₂



Comparison with experiment

8 fs pulses, wavelength 775 nm, intensity 2×10^{14} W/cm²

Raw data of harmonics in D₂
and H₂



Baker et al. Science **312**,424 (2006)

Conclusions

- It is desirable to combine nuclear motion with TDDFT
- Great current interest in laser-induced multielectron dynamics

Next part:

- Learning about TDDFT and physical mechanisms from model systems